II. Remarks

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully

requested.

Claims 33-42 and 50-52 are pending in the application. Claim 33 is independent.

Claims 33-42 and 50-52 were rejected under 35 USC 101 as allegedly being

directed to non-statutory subject matter, as set forth in the Federal Circuit decision in *In re Bilski*.

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection on the grounds that the claimed subject matter is

repproductive respectively discretized and representation and greatest and and an arrangement and arrangement arrangement and arrangement arrangem

within the statutory definition of patentable subject matter. Applicants also note that the Federal Circuit decision in *In re Bilsk*i will be reviewed by the U.S. Supreme Court. Nevertheless, while

traversing the rejection on the grounds noted above, Applicants have revised the claims in

accordance with present USPTO policy.

In view of the above, it is believed that this application is now in condition for

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office

allowance, and a Notice thereof is respectfully requested.

, ,

by telephone at (202) 625-3507. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our

address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Richard P. Bauer/

Attorney for Applicants Richard P. Bauer

Registration No. 31.588

PATENT ADMINISTRATOR KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 2900 K Street. N.W.

2900 K Street, N.W. Suite 200

Washington, D.C. 20007-5118